









Performance

Accessibility

Best Practices

SEO



Performance

Values are estimated and may vary. The performance score is calculated directly from these metrics. See calculator.

▲ 0-49

50-89

90-100



METRICS Expand view

First Contentful Paint

0.7 s

Largest Contentful Paint

2.1 s

Total Blocking Time **Cumulative Layout Shift** 900 ms 0.057 Speed Index 1.3 s View Treemap كلي<mark>ة الاتحاد الدولية</mark> حيث العلم والتدريب يلتقيا Later this year, insights will replace performance audits. Learn more and provide feedback Try insights here. Show audits relevant to: All FCP LCP TBT CLS **DIAGNOSTICS** Minimize main-thread work — 2.6 s Enable text compression — Est savings of 815 KiB Serve images in next-gen formats — Est savings of 507 KiB Largest Contentful Paint element — 2,080 ms

Properly size images — Est savings of 328 KiB

Reduce unused CSS — Est savings of 553 KiB

Minify JavaScript — Est savings of 35 KiB

Ensure text remains visible during webfont load

Reduce unused JavaScript — Est savings of 147 KiB

Eliminate render-blocking resources — Est savings of 120 ms

Serve static assets with an efficient cache policy — 44 resources found

Minify CSS — Est savings of 103 KiB

	Does not use passive listeners to improve scrolling performance	~	
	Defer offscreen images — Est savings of 23 KiB	~	
	Avoid serving legacy JavaScript to modern browsers — Est savings of 9 KiB	~	
	Avoid enormous network payloads — Total size was 3,275 KiB	~	
	Avoid an excessive DOM size — 1,215 elements	~	
0	Avoid long main-thread tasks — 3 long tasks found	~	
0	Avoid large layout shifts — 3 layout shifts found	~	
0	Avoid non-composited animations — 7 animated elements found	~	
0	Avoid chaining critical requests — 8 chains found	~	
0	Minimize third-party usage — Third-party code blocked the main thread for 0 ms	~	
More information about the performance of your application. These numbers don't <u>directly affect</u> the Performance score.			



Show

Accessibility

These checks highlight opportunities to <u>improve the accessibility of your web app</u>. Automatic detection can only detect a subset of issues and does not guarantee the accessibility of your web app, so <u>manual testing</u> is also encouraged.

CONTRAST

▲ Background and foreground colors do not have a sufficient contrast ratio. ✓

These are opportunities to improve the legibility of your content.

NAMES AND LABELS

PASSED AUDITS (16)

▲ Links do not have a discernible name

These are opportunities to improve the semantics of the controls in your application. This may enhance the experience for users of assistive technology, like a screen reader.

NAVIGATION

Heading elements are not in a sequentially-descending order

These are opportunities to improve keyboard navigation in your application.

ADDITIONAL ITEMS TO MANUALLY CHECK (10)

Show

These items address areas which an automated testing tool cannot cover. Learn more in our guide on conducting an accessibility review.

PASSED AUDITS (25)

Show



Best Practices

BROWSER COMPATIBILITY

Page lacks the HTML doctype, thus triggering quirks-mode Document must contain a doctype

GENERAL

Browser errors were logged to the console

Detected JavaScript libraries

TRUST AND SAFETY

Ensure CSP is effective against XSS attacks

Use a strong HSTS policy


Ensure proper origin isolation with COOP

Mitigate clickjacking with XFO or CSP	~
PASSED AUDITS (12)	Show
NOT APPLICABLE (2)	Show



These checks ensure that your page is following basic search engine optimization advice. There are many additional factors Lighthouse does not score here that may affect your search ranking, including performance on Core Web Vitals. Learn more about Google Search Essentials.

CRAWLING AND INDEXING



Generated by **Lighthouse** 12.6.1 | File an issue